Cannabinoids inhibit neurodegeneration in models of multiple sclerosis
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Summary
Multiple sclerosis is increasingly being recognized as a neurodegenerative disease that is triggered by inflammatory attack of the CNS. As yet there is no satisfactory treatment. Using experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of multiple sclerosis, we demonstrate that the cannabinoid system is neuroprotective during EAE. Mice deficient in the cannabinoid receptor CB1 tolerate inflammatory and excitotoxic insults poorly and develop substantial neurodegeneration following immune attack in EAE. In addition, exogenous CB1 agonists can provide significant neuroprotection from the consequences of inflammatory CNS disease in an experimental allergic uveitis model. Therefore, in addition to symptom management, cannabis may also slow the neurodegenerative processes that ultimately lead to chronic disability in multiple sclerosis and probably other diseases.
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Abbreviations: 2-AG = 2-arachidonoyl glycerol; CB = cannabinoid receptor; CREAE = chronic relapsing experimental allergic encephalomyelitis; EAE = experimental allergic encephalomyelitis; EAU = experimental allergic uveitis; ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; i.p. = intraperitoneal; IRBP = interphotoreceptor retinoid binding protein; NMDA = N-methyl-D-aspartate; Δ9-THC = tetrahydrocannabinol

Introduction
Multiple sclerosis is a chronic disease of the CNS, where autoimmunity is thought to drive the development of inflammatory lesions that induce the primary demyelination, which results in the inhibition of normal neurotransmission (Compston and Coles, 2002). However, the observation that disability often continues to worsen despite immunotherapy, which reduces blood–brain barrier dysfunction and relapse rate (Coles et al., 1999; SPECTRIMS Study Group, 2001; Wiendl and Hohlfeld, 2002) underscores that neurodegenerative changes are of major importance in disease progression (Barnes et al., 1991; Ferguson et al., 1997; Trapp et al., 1998; Coles et al., 1999). This correlates with gross atrophy of the CNS, axonal loss and the accumulation of permanent disability (Bjartmar et al., 2000; Compston and Coles, 2002). Axonal pathology is an early feature of multiple sclerosis lesions and is initially associated with inflammation (De Stefano et al., 2001; Filippi et al., 2003); likewise, axonal damage is a feature in experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE), an autoimmune model of multiple sclerosis (Baker et al., 1990; Wujek et al., 2002). During multiple sclerosis and EAE, destruction of myelin results in the redistribution and aberrant expression of axonal ion channels, and demyelinated axons are particularly sensitive to the damaging effects of free-radicals and glutamate excitotoxicity, which may additionally contribute to chronic neurodegeneration in CNS autoimmune disease (Foster et al., 1980; Black et al., 2000; Pitt et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2000, 2001; Werner et al., 2001; Lo et al., 2002; Kapoor et al., 2003). Therapeutic strategies in multiple sclerosis have concentrated on immunomodulation (Wiendl and Hohlfeld, 2002). There is an urgent need for agents that can inhibit progressive multiple sclerosis.
Cannabis contains many compounds but it has been found that the major psychoactive ingredient is Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ⁹-THC) (Mechoulam and Gaoni, 1967). Δ⁹-THC mediates the majority of its activities through stimulation of cannabinoid receptors (CB), notably CB₁, which are expressed throughout the CNS (Matsuda et al., 1990; Howlett et al., 2002). Following the discovery of the receptors, fatty acid endogenous ligands, such as anandamide and 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG), and a degradation enzyme have been identified (Devane et al., 1990; Mechoulam et al., 1985; Dinh et al., 2002). The cannabinoid system functions to regulate synaptic neurotransmission (Kreitzer and Regehr, 2001; Wilson and Nicoll, 2001) and tonically controls clinical signs such as spasticity and tremor that develop in chronic EAE (Baker et al., 2001, 2001). This provides objective evidence to support the claims of multiple sclerosis patients that cannabis may have a benefit in symptom management (Consroe et al., 1997), a claim further supported by some recent clinical trials of medical cannabis extracts (Killestein et al., 2002; Robson et al., 2002; Vaney et al., 2002). There is in vitro evidence that cannabinoids can also regulate glutamate release, oxidant free radicals and calcium influxes (Twitchell et al., 1997; Hampson et al., 1998; Kreitzer and Regehr, 2001; Howlett et al., 2002), which, in excess, can cause neuronal death in neuroinflammatory disease (Pitt et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2000; Kapoor et al., 2003). The lack of specificity of all available cannabinoid reagents (Howlett et al., 2002) and the potential presence of additional CB-like receptors (Di Marzo et al., 2000; Breivogel et al., 2001; Monory et al., 2002) means that gene-deleted transgenic mice (Ledent et al., 1999; Zimmer et al., 1999) provide powerful tools to definitively investigate the potential role of the cannabinoid system in neuroprotection.

### Material and methods

#### Animals

Biozzi ABH and CB1 gene (Cnr1)-deficient mice were from stock bred at the Institute of Neurology. They were fed RM-1(E) diet and water *ad libitum*. Congenic (N5) ABH.Cnr1+/−, −/− and +/+ were generated from CD1.Cnr1+/− knockout mice (Ledent et al., 1999) and screened as described previously (Brooks et al., 2002). B10.RIII mice were purchased from Harlan Olac, Oxford, UK. All experiments were ethically performed according to the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act (1984), under the control of the UK Government, Home Office.

#### Chemicals

The cannabinoid receptor agonists R(+)-WIN55,212 and CP55,940 were purchased from Tocris (Bristol, UK). N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) and the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801 were obtained from Sigma (Poole, UK). The CB₁ selective antagonist rimonabant (SR141617A; Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994) and Δ⁹-THC were from the National Institute for Drugs and Abuse (NIDA) drug supply program. These were dissolved in ethanol : cremophor : PBS (1 : 1 : 18) and 0.1–0.2 ml was injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) daily.

### Induction of chronic relapsing EAE (CREAE)

Mice were injected subcutaneously in the flank on day 0 and 7 with 1 mg mouse spinal cord homogenate in complete Freund’s adjuvant [60 μg *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Ra, *Mycobacterium butyricum* (4 : 1) per injection] on day 0 and 7 (Baker et al., 1990). Clinical disease was assessed daily and scored: 0 = normal, 1 = limp tail, 2 = impaired righting reflex, 3 = paresis of hindlimbs, 4 = complete paralysis of hindlimbs and 5 = moribund/death (O’Neill et al., 1992). The activity of animals was monitored over 5 min in a 27 × 27 cm open-field activity chamber (Brooks et al., 2002). Tissues were either snap-frozen or formaldehyde-fixed for immunohistology (Baker et al., 1990; Ahmed et al., 2002), western blotting for caspase activity (Ahmed et al., 2002) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) detection of CNS proteins.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>No. EAE/total</th>
<th>Clinical score (± SEM)</th>
<th>Day of onset (± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABH (wild type)</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABH.Cnr1+/+ (wild type homozygous)</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABH.Cnr1+/- (heterozygous)</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABH.Cnr1−/− (knockout)</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results represent the number of animals within a group that developed clinical EAE, the mean maximal clinical score ± SEM that developed during acute phase EAE (up to day 24 post-inoculation) and the mean day of onset ± SD of clinical EAE (score >0.5). The disease incidence, onset and severity of congenic mice was comparable (*P > 0.05*) to that found in wild-type ABH mice, as assessed using the Mann-Whitney U-test.
Neurofilament ELISA

Whole spinal cords were homogenized on ice by trituration and sonication in 500 μl of barbitone buffer [11 mM barbital, 63 mM sodium barbital, 1.2 mM EDTA (Sigma)] containing a protease inhibitor cocktail and 4 mM EGTA. Lipids were extracted from the sample by adding diisopropyl-ether (Sigma) at 1 : 5000 and centrifuging for 5 min at 20 000 g. The supernatant was frozen and stored in aliquots at −70°C, and the total protein was measured using the standard Lowry method. Ninety-six-well microtitre plates (Maxisorp; Nunc, Rochester, NY, USA) were coated overnight at 4°C with the SMI35 coat monoclonal antibody (SMI35; Sternberger Monoclonals Inc., Lutherville, MD, USA) against neurofilament heavy chain diluted in 0.05 M sodium carbonate (pH 9.6). This was followed by a wash step with barbitone buffer containing 5 mM EDTA, 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma). Non-specific protein binding was blocked by incubation with 1% bovine serum albumin in barbitone buffer for 1 h at room temperature, followed by a wash with wash buffer as above. Spinal cord homogenates were serially diluted to 1 : 10 000 in barbitone buffer containing 5 mM EDTA, and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. After washing, a rabbit polyclonal anti-neurofilament H antibody (N-4142; Sigma), diluted 1 : 1000, was incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Following another wash, horseradish

Fig. 1 Cannabinoids limit accumulation of disability in EAE. CREAE was actively induced in wild-type ABH or CB1 gene (Cnr1)-deficient, congenic ABH mice with mouse spinal cord homogenate in complete Freund’s adjuvant on day 0 and 7. (A) The mean ± SEM daily clinical scores (post-induction) demonstrate that CB1 knockout mice (filled circles) show poor recovery from paralysis compared with wild-type mice (open diamonds). (B) Movement activity of normal and animals with EAE in remission after one or four paralytic disease episodes were measured in an activity chamber over 5 min. The results represent the individual data points (solid circles) and box plot (25–75% percentile) with 5–95% percentiles range of activity wild-type (open box) and CB1 knockout (shaded box) mice.
peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin diluted 1:1000 was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The tetramethylbenzidine chromogenic reagent (R & D Systems Europe, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was used, signal development stopped using 1 M phosphoric acid, and the plate read at 450 nm, with a reference reading at 620 nm. The antigen concentration for each sample was calculated from an internal standard curve ranging from 0 to 250 ng/ml.
(high-performance liquid chromatography-puriﬁed bovine neuroﬁlament H; Affiniti Bioreagents, Golden, Colorado, USA). All samples were analysed in duplicate.

**Induction of experimental allergic uveitis (EAU)**

B10.RIII mice were injected subcutaneously with 25 μg interphotoreceptor retinoid binding protein (IRBP151–181) peptide in complete Freund’s adjuvant (as used in EAE experiments) on day 0 and 7, disease was assessed histologically, by haematoxylin and eosin-stained 5 μm parafﬁn wax sections, for the level of inﬁltration (score 0–6) and structural damage (score 0–5), as described previously (Hankey *et al*., 2001).

**Glutamate excitotoxicity**

NMDA-induced Ca2+ influx

Cerebellar neurons obtained from 6-day-old wild-type ABH.Cnr1+/+ and CB1 knockout mice were cultured for 9 days in poly-D-lysine coverslips as described previously (Evans and Pocock, 1999). At 36 h and 6 days, 10 μM cytosine arabinoside was added to inhibit non-neuronal proliferation (Evans and Pocock, 1999). Cells were loaded with 5 μM of the ﬂuorescent Ca2+ indicator dye fura-2 acetoxymethyl ester (Calbiochem, Nottingham, UK), prior to ionotropic glutamate receptor stimulation with 100 μM NMDA and subsequent inhibition with the NMDA receptor antagonist, 10 μM MK-801. This concentration of MK-801 is required to give maximal block of imaged NMDA-induced Ca2+ inﬂuxes in cerebellar neurons (Pocock and Nicholls, 1998). The CB1 receptor agonist CP55,940 (0.01–5 μM) was added 5 min before imaging commenced and was present throughout the experiment. A 12-bit digital camera acquired images and the output visualized with a Life Science Resources Merlin Imaging system, version 1.8630 (Perkin Elmer Life Science, Cambridge, UK). Data were analysed by calculating the 340/380 nm ﬂuorescence ratios with time.

**Kainic acid induced lesion**

Mice were deeply anaesthetized with halothane and stereotactically injected unilaterally (bregma 2.5 mm, medial-lateral 1.7 mm and dorsoventral 1.6 mm) with 1.5 nmol of kainic acid in 30 μl of 0.9% saline over 30 s; the injection needle was retained for 1 min to prevent reﬂux of ﬂuid (Chen and Strickland, 1997).

**Statistical analysis**

Non-parametric data were assessed using the Mann–Whitney U-test with Minitab software (Coventry, UK), parametric data were assessed using t-tests with Sigmastat software. The group score represents the maximal clinical grade developed by all animals within the group.

---

**Fig. 2** Cannabinoids mediate neuroprotection in experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. CREAE was actively induced in wild-type ABH or CB1 gene (Cnr1)-deﬁcient, congenic ABH mice with mouse spinal cord homogenate in complete Freund’s adjuvant on day 0 and 7, and disease progression in wild-type mice is associated with axonal damage and loss. (A) Spinal cord neuroﬁlament levels from tissue homogenates from wild-type (open boxes) and CB1 knockout (shaded boxes) mice were measured by ELISA from normal and animals with EAE in remission after one or four paralytic disease episodes during EAE. The results represent the mean ± SEM neuroﬁlament levels (n = 6–8 per group). **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 compared with respective normal controls. (B–E) Axonal damage was reﬂected histologically. Bielschowsky silver stain of parafﬁn 5 μm wax sections of lumbar spinal cord in (B) normal and (C) chronic EAE after four attacks, demonstrating few surviving axons (arrows) and note the loss of axons in the dorsal horn. Neuroﬁlament-speciﬁc immunocytochemistry of the spinal cord from a CB1 knockout mouse (D) before and (E) after a single paralytic episode of EAE. Note the many transactions of the white matter axons. (F) Caspase-1 and -3 levels were assessed using western analysis and caspase-3 levels are signiﬁcantly (P < 0.001) elevated in CB1 knockout mice (shaded boxes) during acute EAE compared with wild type (open boxes) (Student’s t-test; n = 6 per group).
Results

Development of chronic paresis in EAE is associated with accumulation of axonal loss

Following actively, spinal cord homogenate-induced CREAE, ABH mice develop a relapsing–remitting disease progression of distinct paralytic disease episodes followed by remission with an increasing residual deficit (Baker et al., 1990, 2000). Although histological axonal damage occurs in the initial acute phase of CREAE in ABH mice, this becomes much more evident, particularly in the spinal cord, following the development of relapsing disease (Baker et al., 1990; Ahmed et al., 2002). Whilst EAE has classically been assessed using a subjectively scored scale of paralysis (Table 1; Fig. 1A) (Baker et al., 1990; Smith et al., 2000; Lo et al., 2002), accumulating residual deficit could be quantitatively demonstrated through assessment of mobility of remission animals in an open-field activity chamber (Fig. 1B). Whilst ABH mice remitted to exhibit more immobility after one episode of paralysis ($P < 0.001$; clinical score 0.5), movement activity was further reduced ($P < 0.001$) after three to four episodes (clinical grade 2.5–3), where animals had chronically developed residual hind limb paresis upon recovery from relapsing paralytic episodes (Fig. 1B). This immobility was associated with accumulating axonal loss, which could be quantitatively assessed using a neurofilament ELISA (Fig. 2A), and demonstrated histologically (Fig. 2B and C).

The cannabinoid system regulates EAE-induced neurodegeneration

Wild-type (ABH) and congenic wild-type homozygous (ABH. Cnr1+/+), heterozygotes (ABH. Cnr1+/−) and CB1-deficient (ABH. Cnr1−/−) mice developed EAE with comparable incidence, severity and onset (Table 1). There was, however, an increased frequency (>5%) of EAE-induced mortality in CB1-deficient mice and also in heterozygotes, which have reduced CB1 expression (Selley et al., 2001). However, most strikingly CB1-deficient mice exhibited significantly ($P < 0.05$) more immobility and residual paresis (Fig. 1) and axonal pathology (Fig. 2A and D–F) than wild-type mice following recovery after the first paralytic episode. These animals relapse and accumulate more deficits that rapidly reach an acceptable severity limit, including the development of permanent hindlimb paralysis. Consistent with the enhanced neurodegeneration after a single attack, spasticity (Baker et al., 2000) developed early in ABH.Cnr1−/− mice, which in wild-type ABH mice usually only occurs after three to four attacks (Baker et al., 2000). Injection of wild-type mice with the CB1 antagonist (twice daily with 5 mg/kg rimonabant i.p. from onset; $n = 8$) induced greater mortality than usually occurs (<5%). However, using clinical signs of remission, the level of neurodegeneration was not as consistent as that found in CB1-deficient animals (data not shown). Although multiple pathways contribute to axonal damage in EAE, the final effector mechanism in neuronal death is probably toxic ion influxes ($Ca^{2+}$) and caspase-3-mediated apoptosis (Ahmed et al., 2002), and consistent with this, ABH.Cnr1−/− mice exhibited significantly ($P < 0.001$) elevated levels of active caspase-3 during acute-phase EAE compared with wild-type ABH.Cnr1−/− mice, although levels of caspase-1 activity were comparable (Fig. 2F). Caspase-3 could be detected immunocytochemically in dying axons and these axons demonstrated many transsections, which is a feature of multiple sclerosis (Trapp et al., 1998) (Fig. 2D and E). Therefore, neurodegeneration is clearly elevated in CB1-deficient mice following inflammatory insults, suggesting that CB1 agonism should have neuroprotective potential in CB1-wild-type animals, in addition to controlling neurological symptoms such as tremor and spasticity (Baker et al., 2000).

Exogenous CB1 agonism is neuroprotective in inflammatory CNS disease

In CREAE in ABH mice, the neurological deficit accumulates slowly over a number of months and multi-focal lesions can occur anywhere along the neuroaxis (Baker et al., 1990), complicating assessment and treatment of neurodegeneration, especially as limited quantities of Δ9-THC were available for study. In contrast, neurodegeneration is restricted to a focal site and develops rapidly in EAU. Following sensitization of B10.RIII mice with IRBP153–180 peptide, the neuroretina is almost completely destroyed within 14–16 days (Hankey et al., 2001) (Fig. 3A–E), again associated with caspase-3-induced neuronal pathology (not shown). CB1 receptor agonism with either R(+)-WIN-55,212-2 (Figs 3C and 4A), at doses that demonstrated no immunosuppressive effect in EAE (vehicle: $n = 9/9$, clinical score 3.3 ± 0.4, day of onset 17.6 ± 1.2; compared with 5 mg/kg i.p. R(+)-WIN 55,212-2 from day 10–22, $n = 7/8$, clinical score 3.4 ± 0.5, day of onset 17.3 ± 1.8), and Δ9-THC significantly inhibited photoreceptor damage, without any apparent inhibition of inflammatory infiltrate (Figs 3E and 4B). Therefore, CB1 agonism can mediate neuroprotection during inflammatory insults.

Cannabinoids regulate/inhibit glutamate excitotoxicity

Although immunosuppression, shown by a reduction in the degree of infiltrate, was not evident following treatment with R(+)-WIN-55, 212–2 or Δ9-THC (Fig. 3) shortly before expression of disease, Δ9-THC has been reported to have immunosuppressive effects (Lyman et al., 1989; Wirguin et al., 1994), which could influence neurodegenerative potential. As glutamate excitotoxicity has been implicated in neuronal damage in this and other EAE models (Achiron et al., 2000; Pitt et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2000), glutamate-induced excitotoxicity was examined in vitro and following CNS injection of kainic acid in vivo to examine neuro-
protective potential in the absence of a compounding immunomodulation. Following in vitro stimulation of NMDA receptors there was a marked (cerebellar) neuronal $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx, which was more pronounced in CB$_1$-deficient mice than in controls, suggesting that the cannabinoid system exhibits tonic control of this response (Fig. 5A). In addition, the NMDA receptor antagonist (MK-801) was slower at reducing $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ to basal levels in CB$_1$-deficient mice compared with ABH.Cnr1+/+ wild type, suggesting $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ dysregulation in the absence of CB$_1$ receptors had occurred. Exogenous CB$_1$ agonism by CP55,940 inhibited this NMDA-induced cytosolic $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx in wild-type animals, maximally at 1 $\mu$M using these culture conditions, but was relatively ineffective in CB$_1$-knockout mice, suggesting that postsynaptic control of NMDA-receptor activation is lost in CB$_1$-deficient mice (Fig. 5A). The injection of kainic acid ($>0.15$ nM) into CB$_1$-deficient mice induced seizures and caused mortality, usually within 10 min post-injection, which did not occur in wild-type ABH and ABH congenic wild-type (Fig. 5B) mice ($P < 0.01$), despite using 50-fold higher doses of kainic acid. This elevated mortality was also evident in CD1.Cnr1−/− mice (Fig. 5B), and therefore CB$_1$ receptors are also clearly regulating ionotropic glutamate receptor activity (Fig. 5), which has been implicated in neural excitotoxicity.

**Discussion**

Neurological disability in multiple sclerosis correlates with spinal cord axonal loss (~50–70% in paralysed multiple sclerosis patients) and reduced $N$-acetyl aspartate (NAA) levels in chronic multiple sclerosis patients (Bjartmar et al., 2000). This study provides the first and definitive evidence that the cannabinoid system controls the development of neurodegeneration, which occurs as a result of inflammatory insult of the CNS. This provides a novel avenue for neuroprotection in multiple sclerosis and other neurodegenerative diseases.

In diseases such as EAE and multiple sclerosis, it is unlikely that there is a single route to neurodegenerative events, and these may change during the disease course. The
Fig. 4 Cannabinoids mediate neuroprotection in EAU. Following active induction of EAU in B10.RIII mice with IRBP153-180 peptide in complete Freund’s adjuvant, eyes were processed for routine wax histology and the level of infiltration (scale 0–5) and structural damage (scale 0–6) assessed. Animals received daily injections of (A) 5 mg/kg i.p. R(+)WIN55,212-2 or (B) 20 mg/kg i.p. Δ9-THC, dissolved in ethanol : cremophor : PBS (1 : 1 : 18), from day 8 onwards. The data represent the results on days 15 and 21 post-inoculation, respectively. The number of animals with EAU per group is indicated. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with respective control group.

clinical outcome will be determined by the rate that these accumulate and how the genetic background of the individual enables them to adapt to the insult. Whilst axonal loss occurs very early in the course of multiple sclerosis (Filippi et al., 2003), it can remain clinically silent for some time, and irreversible neurological disability appears to develop when a threshold (15–30% in mice) of axonal loss is reached and compensatory CNS resources are exhausted (Confavreux et al., 2000; Wujek et al., 2002). In this model, marginal, statistically non-significant axonal loss occurred after the initial neurological attack, assessed here using a novel, relatively rapid neurofilament ELISA and by changes in NAA levels (Preece et al., 1994). Once chronic paresis was evident there was significant axonal loss (~40%) as shown here by ELISA and also by magnetic resonance spectroscopy of spinal cord NAA levels (R. A. Page, H. G. Parkes, D. Baker, G. Giovannoni and C. A. Davie, unpublished observations). However, most interestingly, CB1-deficient mice accumulated significant axonal loss (~36%) even after a single acute episode, indicating that the presence of CB1 was mediating a degree of neuroprotection during autoimmune attack. Surprisingly, analysis of spinal cord axonal content indicated that apparently normal, CB1-deficient ABH mice have fewer spinal nerves than wild-type animals (P < 0.001), which may be reflective of CB1 involvement in neural plasticity during development (Kim and Thayer, 2001), or there could be inherent neurodegeneration in these animals. This requires further study.

In EAE and, at least initially, in multiple sclerosis axonal damage occurs at least concordantly with inflammation (Ferguson et al., 1997; Trapp et al., 1998), which produces many potentially damaging elements such as cytokines and oxidative stress (Koprowski et al., 1993; Werner et al., 2001; Lock et al., 2002). Ionotropic glutamate receptor systems can also signal damaging mechanisms, at the blood–brain barrier and within the neural microenvironment, in EAE and multiple sclerosis (Bolton and Paul, 1997; Achiron et al., 2000; Pitt et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2000; Kalkers et al., 2002). As shown here, cannabinoids can tonically regulate NMDA glutamate receptor activity in vitro and support the in vivo observation that CB1 regulates NMDA-induced and ischaemic excitotoxicity (Nagayama et al., 1999; Parmentier-Batteur et al., 2002). We also show definitively that CB1 receptor activity regulates kainate glutamate receptor activity in vivo. Cannabinoids also have anti-oxidant properties that could further limit damaging events during inflammation (Hampson et al., 1998; Howlett et al., 2002). In addition, cellular changes such as neural and oligodendrocyte death and gliosis will change the CNS microenvironment, for example through redistribution of ion channels on demyelinated nerves (Foster et al., 1980; Black et al., 2000), loss of trophic support and the formation of compensatory neural pathways, which may contribute to excitotoxic stress and induce further degeneration. This could amplify as the disease progresses, possibly largely independent of inflammation (Compston and Coles, 2002), and may have similarities to nerve destruction in other neurodegenerative conditions, such as Huntington’s chorea, Alzheimer’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, where nerve loss accumulates slowly. The cannabinoid system acts as a regulator of many different neurotransmitters and ion (K+ and particularly Ca2+) channels (Henry and Chavkin, 1995; Twitchell et al., 1997; Howlett et al., 2002) and appears to be particularly important when CNS homeostasis is in imbalance, as occurs in disease (Baker et al., 2000). Therefore, CB1 can act at many levels within the death cascade, which will ultimately lead to toxic ion influxes, cell metabolic failure and activation of death effector molecules, such as caspase-3 (Ahmed et al., 2002). This would be consistent with the rapid neurodegeneration that accumulates in CB1-deficient mice. This also implicates a role for endocannabinoids in neuroprotection. The nature of
the endogenous neuroprotective cannabinoid has yet to be definitively resolved and may involve more than one CB₁-mediated pathway, possibly dependent on the neural circuit involved. Whilst in head trauma it has been suggested that 2-AG may mediate neuroprotection (Panikashvili et al., 2001), in a similar study anandamide, not 2-AG, was shown to be active (Hansen et al., 2001). However, as both anandamide and 2-AG are elevated in chronic EAE lesions (Baker et al., 2001) both may participate in endogenous neuroprotective mechanisms. This will be elucidated once suitable agents to dissect these pathways become available.

Previous studies in non-demyelinating EAE models have demonstrated that high-dose Δ⁹-THC, often administered during the induction process, has clinical disease ameliorating effects, due to prevention of infiltrate reaching the CNS (Lyman et al., 1989; Wirguin et al., 1994). Furthermore, Δ⁹-THC had no effect on the clinical course, which in Lewis rats is usually naturally self-limiting, when treatment was initi-

Fig. 5 Cannabinoids inhibit glutamate-induced excitotoxicity. (A) Lack of CB₁ receptors leads to loss of regulation of NMDA-induced calcium influx. Cerebellar neurons from wild-type and CB₁ knockout mice were loaded with the fluorescence Ca²⁺ indicator dye fura-2 prior to ionotropic glutamate receptor stimulation. Each trace is the mean somatic response of 40 individual neurons measured by single-cell fluorescence imaging. The NMDA receptor agonist, NMDA (100 μM), was added at the arrow and the NMDA receptor antagonist, MK-801, was added at the arrowhead. Where indicated, the CB₁ receptor agonist CP55,940 (1 μM) was added 5 min before imaging commenced and was present throughout the experiment. (B) Kainate-induced excitotoxicity in vivo. Halothane anaesthetized animals (n = 5 per group) were intracerebrally injected with 15–0.15 nmol of kainic acid. Rapid (1–10 min) mortality (open blocks) developed in ABH.Cnr1⁻/⁻ mice compared with the survival (0 out of 5 mortality; shaded blocks) that occurred in wild type (ABH.Cnr1⁺/⁺). Seizures consistent with glutamate excitotoxicity were evident. CB₁ knockout animals died within 1–3 min of injection, whereas heterozygotes typically showed adverse effects 5–10 min after injection. Doses lower than the maximal survival dose for each strain were not tested.
ated at disease onset (Lyman et al., 1989). Acute phase paralysis in most rodent EAE models is rapidly reversed and can occur largely independent of any demyelination and axonal loss, and more probably reflects conduction block (Wujek et al., 2002). Therefore, inhibition of acute phase paralysis (Lyman et al., 1989) may reflect inhibition of the immune process that leads to damage in addition to neural effects. Indeed, CB agonists have recently been reported to have immunomodulatory effects in a viral model of multiple sclerosis (Arevalo-Martin et al., 2003; Croxford and Miller, 2003). Without the use of tissue-specific CB1 conditional knockouts (Marsicano et al., 2002), it is probably not possible to completely exclude some influence of cannabinoid inhibition of the immune response in the neurodegenerative process. However, in the context of multiple sclerosis, both would be of benefit for inhibition of disease.

The results of this study are important because they suggest that in addition to symptom management, cannabinoids offer the potential to slow the progression of a disease that as yet has no satisfactory treatment. Therefore, if trials are extended to monitor the long-term effects of cannabis use on symptom management, they should be designed to monitor neuronal loss and progression. If CB1 agonism can be applied whilst limiting the unwanted psychoactive potential, such as through enhancement of endocannabinoid levels (Baker et al., 2001), this may provide a new therapeutic route in multiple sclerosis and could be combined with therapies that target the immunological elements of disease. In neurodegenerative diseases including multiple sclerosis, signs appear once significant damage has already accumulated, slowing the degenerative process early following diagnosis may help improve quality of life for many more years.
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